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ABSTRACT
We theoretically investigated the effect of mixed Frenkel (F) and charge transfer (CT) states on the spectral properties of perylene bisimide (PBI) derivatives, focusing on the role of strong electron–phonon interactions. The model consists of a four-level system described by the Holstein Hamiltonian coupled to independent local heat-baths on each site, described by Brownian spectral distribution functions. We employ the reduced hierarchical equations of motion (HEOM) approach to calculate the time evolution of the system and compare it to the pure F exciton cases. We compute the absorption and time-gated fluorescence (TGF) spectra for different exciton transfer integrals and F-CT bandgap conditions. The coherence length of excitons ($N_{coh}$) is evaluated employing two different definitions. We observe the presence of an excited hot state peak whose intensity is associated with the delocalization of the excited species and ultrafast dynamics that are solely dependent on the frequency of the local bath. The results indicate that the inclusion of CT states promotes localization of the excitons, which is manifested in a decrease in the intensity of the hot state peak and the 0–1 peak and an increase in the intensity of the 0–0 emission peak in the TGF spectrum, leading to a decrease of $N_{coh}$.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0102000

I. INTRODUCTION
Over the past few decades, the development of more efficient photovoltaic devices has been of great interest in the investigation of renewable energy sources. In particular, organic solar cells have proven to be very promising because of their lower manufacturing costs, lighter weight, and greater design flexibility compared to existing silicon-based materials. For this reason, several groups have been studying different types of compounds that could be used as donors and acceptors, such as oligoacenes and fullerene/non-fullerene derivatives, respectively, to increase the power conversion efficiency. In this regard, perylene bisimides (PBI) derivatives, which are conjugated molecules that form varieties of π–π stacked H-aggregates, are promising candidates as semiconductors because of their high photostability and excellent spectral properties, including the ability to change absorption bands by changing substituents.

However, the mechanism of photocurrent generation in organic devices is more complex than in their inorganic counterparts, mainly because of the vibronic effect associated with strong electron–phonon interactions. Recently, the importance of the inclusion of charge transfer (CT) states and the interaction with Frenkel (F) states on the exciton dynamics of certain systems have been recognized. In particular, in systems where this interaction is strong, the Frenkel approximation alone cannot accurately reproduce certain optical properties. In such situations, quantum coherence (entanglement) between electrons and phonons is critical in determining the conversion efficiency of the system, and
a quantum-mechanically accurate method describing the strong system-bath interaction must be employed to study the vibronic dynamics on ultrafast time scales.\textsuperscript{13} Indeed, some studies have shown that localization processes competing with energy transfer can effectively occur on such ultrafast time scales;\textsuperscript{21–23} however, direct experimental results are still needed. Furthermore, while there have been numerous theoretical studies in this area based on approximate theories,\textsuperscript{14} no analysis of this type of system using a numerically rigorous approach such as the hierarchical equation of motion (HEOM) has yet been found.

Absorption and photoluminescence (PL) spectra can provide indirect information about the dynamics of the electronic excitation in molecular aggregates, as they depend on the vibronic coupling, and on their effect of spatial coherence.\textsuperscript{24} More specifically, the coherence length ($N_{coh}$) has been widely employed as a parameter to evaluate the exciton delocalization,\textsuperscript{22} and a method was proposed to determine it from the ratio of the 0–0 and 0–1 fluorescence peaks.\textsuperscript{27} This method is currently believed to accurately evaluate the $N_{coh}$ value of J-aggregates.\textsuperscript{28} Femtosecond time-gated fluorescence (TGF) measurements have been recently used to investigate the dynamics of the delocalization process of PBI H-aggregates,\textsuperscript{29} but recent theoretical analyses suggest that, in these systems, this approach may only work for very short time scales.\textsuperscript{30} Furthermore, the limitation of the time-gate available to obtain TGF spectra makes it difficult to analyze the exciton dynamics behavior at short times (ultrafast dynamics), which may be important to elucidate the role of the vibronic interactions with high-frequency vibrational modes. Yet, TGF measurements appear to be a very promising tool for investigating the dynamics of exciton delocalization due to their inherently simple physical description.\textsuperscript{33–35} Analysis of the commonly obtained pump–probe spectrum for molecular aggregates is much more complex than the emission spectrum because of the contribution of the excited-state absorption (ESA), which is difficult to predict with theoretical calculations. Using the HEOM approach, the TGF spectrum can be theoretically obtained for any time-gate ($t_{cg}$), providing insight into the vibronic effects on $N_{coh}$.

The purpose of this paper is to develop a model for the exciton dynamics in PBI aggregates using the HEOM formalism and investigate the role of the F and CT states as well as that of the vibronic interactions in the delocalization process.

The model commonly used to study electron transfer problems in conjunction with open quantum dynamics theory is one in which the electronic state is coupled to an intermediate harmonic oscillator and then to a heat-bath.\textsuperscript{34} Then, a canonical transformation can be performed to incorporate the harmonic local modes into the bath, resulting in a multilevel system coupled to the heat bath by a Brownian spectral distribution (BSD) function.\textsuperscript{35} Such a system can be treated using the HEOM formalism, in a numerically rigorous manner, even in the low-temperature case.\textsuperscript{36,37} The HEOM can handle not only the strong system-bath coupling condition but also quantum coherence between the system and the bath that is important in the investigation of organic materials.\textsuperscript{38,39}

In this work, we use Me-PTCDI ($\text{N,N'}$-dimethyl-3,4,9,10-perylenedicarboximide) as a reference molecular system, which has been reported to have a particularly strong F–CT interaction.\textsuperscript{40} We employ the HEOM approach for a BSD function to treat the Holstein model, which is further coupled to the heat-bath in order to explore the effect of the system parameters on the dynamics and on the spectral properties. We then show how the delocalization process affects the absorption and TGF spectra, providing information for analyzing the experimental results and hints for new possible studies.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Sec. II, we present the HEOM for the Holstein Hamiltonian that includes F and CT states, the expressions of absorption and emission spectra, and the definition of the coherence length ($N_{coh}$). We then describe the model system in some detail. In Sec. III, we present the details of the calculation and the results of the simulation for various conditions. Section IV is devoted to concluding remarks.

\section{II. THEORY}

\subsection{A. HEOM for a Holstein polaron model coupled to a bath}

The HEOM for a Holstein–Pierls Hamiltonian was introduced in a previous study.\textsuperscript{15} For this particular system, we consider the main contribution to be an electronic system linearly coupled to a local (Holstein) phonon mode which is further independently coupled to a harmonic oscillator heat-bath.

The total Hamiltonian is expressed in terms of the electronic Hamiltonian ($\hat{H}_e$), the electron–phonon interaction Hamiltonian ($\hat{H}_{el-ph}$) and the local phonon mode interaction with the heat-bath ($\hat{H}_{ph-b}$) as

$$\hat{H}_{tot} = \hat{H}_d + \hat{H}_{el-ph} + \hat{H}_{ph-b}. \quad (1)$$

The electronic Hamiltonian is then expressed in terms of the contribution of F states, CT states, and F–CT interaction as

$$\hat{H}_d = \hat{H}_F + \hat{H}_{CT} + \hat{H}_{F-CT}, \quad (2)$$

where each term is expressed as

$$\hat{H}_F = \sum_i \epsilon_i \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i + \sum_{i\neq j} \epsilon_{ij} \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j, \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{H}_{CT} = \sum_m \epsilon_m \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_m + \sum_{m\neq n} \epsilon_{mn} \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_n, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\hat{H}_{F-CT} = \sum_{mn+i} \epsilon_{mn+i} \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_{n+i} \quad (5)$$

Here, $\hat{a}_i^\dagger$ ($\hat{a}_i$) and $\hat{a}_m^\dagger$ ($\hat{a}_m$) are the creation and annihilation operators, respectively, of the F excitons (CT) states. Moreover, $\epsilon_i^0$ ($\epsilon_m^0$) and $\epsilon_{mn}^0$ ($\epsilon_{mn+i}^0$) are the on-site electronic energy and the amplitude of the transfer integral for the $i$-th F ($m$-n-th CT) states. The term $\epsilon_{mn+i}^0$ represents the F–CT interaction with $\hat{a}_m^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_{n+i}$ being the creation and annihilation operator of the respective states.
The electron–phonon interaction Hamiltonian is given by
\[ H_{el-ph} = \sum_i \sum_a \nu_a^i \hat{b}_a^{\dagger} \hat{b}_a + \sum_m \sum_a \nu_m^a \left( \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_m \right), \]
with
\[ \nu_a^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \hbar \Omega_a \hat{a}_a^\dagger \hat{a}_i \]
and
\[ \nu_m^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \hbar \Omega_a \hat{a}_a^\dagger \hat{a}_{m}, \]
where the creation (annihilation) operator of the phonon (or vibron) mode \( \alpha \) with frequency \( \Omega_a \) is expressed as \( \hat{b}_\alpha \) (\( \hat{b}_\alpha^\dagger \)). The local system–bath interactions are expressed as \( \nu_a^i \) and \( \nu_m^a \) for the F and CT terms, with the dimensionless coupling strength \( g^a \) and \( g_m^a \), respectively. The constant \( N \) is the number of unit cells considered.

Finally, the phonon–bath Hamiltonian is expressed as
\[ H_{ph-B} = \sum_a \hbar \Omega_a \left( \hat{b}_a^\dagger \hat{b}_a + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^N \hbar \omega_k \left( \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{a} \left( \hat{b}_a^\dagger \hat{b}_a + \hat{b}_a \hat{b}_a^\dagger \right) \sum_k \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_k, \]
where the operator \( \hat{b}_\alpha^\dagger \) (\( \hat{b}_\alpha \)) is the creation (annihilation) operator of the heat-bath phonons.

The bath system is modeled by a spectral distribution function (SDF), defined as
\[ J_a(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \text{Re} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega_k). \]

For the heat-bath to be an unlimited heat source possessing an infinite heat capacity, the number of heat-bath oscillators \( N \) is effectivly made infinitely large by replacing \( J_a(\omega) \) with a continuous distribution.

By using a canonical transformation, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) can be expressed in terms of the creation and annihilation operators of the heat-bath + primary oscillation (\( \hat{b}_\alpha^\dagger \) and \( \hat{b}_\alpha \), respectively) in the following general form:
\[ H_{tot} = H_{el} + \sum_{a} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i} d_{ai}^a \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i \hat{b}_\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^N \hbar \omega_k \left( \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_k + \frac{1}{2} \right), \]
where \( d_{ai}^a \) is the dimensionless constant that represents the local interaction of the system with the oscillator-bath. Assuming the SDF of the later to be \( J_a(\omega) = \gamma_a \omega \), we have the BSD defined as
\[ J_a(\omega) = \frac{\hbar \lambda_a}{2 \pi} \left( \frac{\gamma_a \Omega_a^2 \omega}{\Omega_a^2 - \omega^2} \right)^2 + \gamma_a^2 \omega^2, \]
where \( \lambda_a \) relates to the reorganization energy, which accounts for the displacement of the excited state in relation to the ground state in the oscillator coordinate space as a consequence of the local electron–phonon interaction. The parameter \( \gamma_a \) is the coupling strength between the oscillator and the bath, which is related to the peak width of \( J_a(\omega) \).

The HEOM for the Brownian distribution can be expressed as
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{\{n,w,\nu,\omega,\gamma\}}(t) = -\left[ i \hbar H^{\dagger} + \sum_{a} \left\{ \left( \frac{n^a + m^a}{2} \right) \gamma_a - i (n^a - m^a) \right\} \hat{a}_a^\dagger \right] \rho_{\{n,w,\nu,\omega,\gamma\}}(t) \]
\[ + \sum_{a} \sum_{i} \nu_a^i \rho_{\{n^a+i,\nu,\omega,\gamma\}}(t) + \sum_{a} \sum_{i} \nu_m^a \rho_{\{n^a,\nu+i,\omega,\gamma\}}(t) \]
\[ + \sum_{a} \sum_{k=1}^N \nu_a^k \rho_{\{n^a,\nu,\omega+k,\gamma\}}(t) + \sum_{a} \sum_{k=1}^N \nu_m^k \rho_{\{n^a,\nu,\omega+k,\gamma\}}(t), \]
where the first term in brackets is the Liouvillian \( \left( \dot{\rho}_a^{\nu_m}(t) \right) \) that involves the truncation operator \( \hat{2}_a \). For a high number of hierarchical elements, the term \( \sum_{a} \sum_{i} \nu_a^i \) becomes much larger than the characteristic time of the system. In this case, the hierarchy can be truncated by the terminator.

**B. Absorption and Emission Spectra**

In optical measurements, a physical observable is expressed as an expectation value of a dipole operator evaluated from the density matrix \( \rho_{tot}(t) \) under laser excitations. For example, linear absorption is related to the first order response function expanded in terms of the laser interaction as
\[ \hat{R}^{(1)}(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( [\hat{\mu}(t), \hat{\mu}(0)] \right) \]
\[ = \frac{i}{\hbar} \text{Tr} \left( \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{L}^{eq}_0 \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{L}^{eq}_0 \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{L}^{eq}_0 \right), \]
where \( \hat{\rho}_0 \) is the initial equilibrium state of the system, \( \hat{\mu} \) is the total transition dipole operator, and \( \hat{L}_0 \) is the Liouvillian operator of the system without the laser interaction. The time-evolution of the
density matrix elements after the pulse can be computed directly by employing the HEOM formalism described in Sec. II A. The intensity of the signal corresponding to the absorption spectrum is then obtained by performing the Fourier transform of the response function,

$$I_{ab} (\omega) = \text{Im} \int_0^\infty dt R^{(1)} (t_1) e^{i\omega t}.$$  \hfill (15)

The third order response function is associated with nonlinear optical processes and is calculated for a sequence of four pulses as

$$R^{(3)} (t_2, t_1, t_0) = \frac{i}{h^3} \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left[ \hat{\mu} (t_1 + t_2 + t_3), \hat{\mu} (t_1 + t_2), \hat{\mu} (t_1) \right], \hat{\mu} (0) \right] \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{i}{h^3} \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\rho} e^{-i\omega t_3} \hat{\rho} e^{-i\omega t_2} \hat{\rho} e^{-i\omega t_1} \hat{\rho} e^{i\omega_0} \right\}. \hfill (16)$$

The design of the pulse sequence is what determines the formulation of the response function that can be associated with a specific spectroscopic technique. The first pulse creates coherence during the $t_1$ period and the second pulse creates an optical excitation of the system. In pump–probe spectroscopy both the spontaneous emission (SE) from the excited states and the Raman from the ground state contribute to the overall signal. However, if we assume instant excitation, i.e., $t_1 = 0$, the excitation and gate pulses do not overlap and one can neglect resonant Raman scattering contributions. We can then compute the time-gated fluorescence (TGF) signal (or the SE signal) that consists only of the fluorescence of the excited state component at different times ($t^*$). Employing the HEOM approach, we can simulate the TGF spectrum to obtain information on the wavepacket dynamics as well as ultrafast excited state relaxation that arises from nonadiabatic processes, which will be helpful to conduct and analyze experiments. The SE signal is expressed as

$$S_{\text{SE}} (t^*, \omega) = \text{Re} \int_0^\infty dt_3 \int_0^\infty dt_2 R^{(3)} (t_3, t_2, 0) E_C (t_2 - t^*) \times E_C (t_2 + t_3 - t^*) e^{i\omega t_3}. \hfill (17)$$

Here, $E_C$ is the intensity of the time-gate pulse, which is assumed to have the following Gaussian shape:

$$E_C (t_2 - t^*) E_C (t_2 + t_3 - t^*) = \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{t_2 - t^*}{t_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{t_2 + t_3 - t^*}{t_0} \right)^2 \right\}, \hfill (18)$$

where $t_0$ is the so-called time-gate and controls the time resolution of the TGF signal. By integrating the HEOM, we can evaluate $R^{(3)} (t_3, t_2, 0)$ as functions of $t_2$ and $t_3$. The TGF spectrum is then obtained by performing a Fourier transform on $t_3$.

C. Coherence length

The coherence length ($N_{\text{coh}}$) is a widely employed parameter to evaluate the degree of exciton delocalization. Here, we consider the definition expressed as

$$N_{\text{coh}} (t) = \frac{1}{|C(t, 0)|} \sum_i |C(t, i)|, \hfill (19)$$

where

$$C(t, i) = \text{Tr} \left\{ \sum_j \hat{\rho}_{ij} (t) \hat{\rho}^{(2)} (t, 0) \right\}. \hfill (20)$$

and $C(t, i)$ is often referred to as the coherence field, and depends on the specific molecular site, while $\rho^{(2)} (t, 0)$ is the on-site density matrix after the two laser excitations. We point out that other definitions of $N_{\text{coh}}$ exist, although they appear to be less common. Spamo has been the first to investigate the possibility to determine the $N_{\text{coh}}$ from spectroscopic measurements and suggested to use the approximate relation

$$N_{\text{coh}} (t) \approx \lambda \frac{I^{0-0} (t)}{I^{0-1} (t)}, \hfill (21)$$

where $I^{0-0}$ and $I^{0-1}$ are the intensities of the 0–0 and 0–1 emission peaks of the fluorescence spectra, and $\lambda^2$ is the Huang–Rhys factor. Note that, although this expression has been used for both J- and H-aggregates, the theoretical justification was mainly focused on J-aggregates. In the following, we will show that the above relation has several limitations and that it may lead to erroneous results when applied to H-aggregates.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study, we simulate the exciton transfer process in a PBI dimer using a four-level system comprising two excited F states $|F_1\rangle$ and $|F_2\rangle$, and two CT states $|C_T\rangle$ and $|C_T\rangle$ (2F–2CT model), as illustrated in Fig. 1. In this model, each state is coupled to its own local vibrational mode, which is further coupled to the heat bath. We consider the frequency and the coupling strength of the vibrational modes to be identical for the two F states, but different coupling strengths for the two CT states. Moreover, we assume that there is no interaction between the CT states. For comparison, we simulate the dynamics of a PBI tetramer including only a single F state (4F model), a dimer ignoring CT states (2F model), and a monomer (1F model).

The model Hamiltonian for the 2F–2CT electric states is expressed in matrix form as

$$H_\theta = \hbar \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{F_1} & \Delta_{12} & \Delta_e & \Delta_b \\ \Delta_{12} & \omega_{F_2} & \Delta_e & \Delta_b \\ \Delta_e & \Delta_e & \omega_{C_T} & 0 \\ \Delta_b & \Delta_b & 0 & \omega_{C_T} \end{bmatrix}, \hfill (22)$$

where $\hbar \omega_{F_i}$ is the F exciton (CT) energy of the $i$th ($m$th) state associated with $\epsilon_1$ ($\epsilon_m$) and $\hbar \Delta_{ij}$ ($\hbar \Delta_{ij}$) is the coupling strength between the
The parameters adopted in the present study have been derived from literature data, and are loosely based on the Me-PTCDI molecule. However, to investigate the role of the F-CT state interaction on the dynamic behavior of the model system, we varied the system parameters, as described below.

For all of our computations, we set the energy of the F states as \( \omega_{F_i} = \omega_{F_2} = 0 \) and consider the room temperature case \( (T = 300 \text{ K}) \) with the value of \( \hbar \omega_0 = 2.40 \). Although the bandgap \( (E_{\text{gap}}) \) energy between F and CT states will be changed later, we start with the CT energy as \( \omega_{\text{CT}} = 480 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). The off-diagonal elements representing the interaction between excited states are \( \Delta_{12} = \Delta_{21} = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). For the F-CT interaction parameters, we set the electron transfer integrals to \( \Delta_e = -725 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), and the hole transfer integrals to \( \Delta_h = -160 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). As mentioned earlier, we assume that there is no interaction between the CT states. For the pure excitonic case, i.e., the 2F and 4F models, the transfer parameters are set under the nearest-neighbor condition.

In the following, the effect of the coupling between the vibrational subsystem and its environment is described by the Brownian oscillator model. The vibrational modes of F and CT states are described as \( \Omega_{F_1} = \Omega_{F_2} = \Omega_{\text{CT}_1} = \Omega_{\text{CT}_2} = 1400 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) with coupling strength \( \lambda_{F_1} = \lambda_{F_2} = 1200 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), \( \lambda_{\text{CT}_1} = 850 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), and \( \lambda_{\text{CT}_2} = 465 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). The coupling strength between the local oscillator and the bath, which acts as the inverse noise correlation time of the BSD, is set to \( \gamma = \gamma_\alpha = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for \( \alpha = F_1, F_2, \text{CT}_1, \) and \( \text{CT}_2 \), respectively. These values are chosen to reproduce the width of the vibronic peaks in the experimental absorption spectra. We consider the molecular units to be independent and all the thermal baths to be uncorrelated. To provide a simple dynamic picture of the CT transfer processes, we assume that only one molecule is initially excited \( (\rho_{F_1}(0) = 1) \). We simulate the time evolution of the reduced density matrix by numerically integrating the HEOM with respect to the time using the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method. The depth and the truncation number of hierarchy are chosen to \( N = 10 \) with \( K = 2 \).

Then, all spectroscopic signals of the aggregate are computed assuming that only the \( |F_1\rangle \) and \( |F_2\rangle \) states are bright, that is,

\[
\dot{\hat{\mu}} = [g](F_1) + [g](F_2) + \text{H.c.},
\]

where \( |g\rangle \) is the ground electronic state of the system. In general, the CT states are dark and have little or no transition dipoles, so they can be safely ignored.

### A. Population dynamics

The time-evolution of the reduced density matrix elements for the 2F, 4F, and 2F-2CT models is depicted in Figs. 2(a)–2(c), respectively. We simulate the dynamics up to \( t = 210 \text{ fs} \), which is sufficient to reach the equilibrium state under these conditions. We observe an ultrafast temporal evolution in the range of 50 fs, followed by thermalization. As it can be seen from the CT dynamics results, the population of the \( |\text{CT}_1\rangle \) state increases rapidly in a short time, whereas the population ratio with the \( |\text{CT}_2\rangle \) state reverses at a long time. This is because the absolute value of the transfer integral between the \( |F_1\rangle \) and \( |\text{CT}_1\rangle \) states is almost five times larger than the value of the hole transfer integral between the \( |F_1\rangle \) and \( |\text{CT}_2\rangle \) states, allowing the fast interconversion. However, the coupling to the local vibrational mode is about twice as large in the \( |\text{CT}_1\rangle \) state than the \( |\text{CT}_2\rangle \) state, which means that the relaxation is stronger at longer times, resulting in a population inversion. The effect of the electron and hole transfer integral parameters are illustrated in the supplementary material (see Fig. S1).

Note that the value of \( y \) was chosen to reproduce the bandwidth of the absorption spectra; however, this leads to an underestimation of the relaxation times in comparison to experimental values on analogous perylene aggregate systems. Therefore, we suggest that the broadening of the absorption peaks observed in the experimental spectra of these aggregates is very likely related to static inhomogeneity rather than dynamic bath effects. These effects could be easily incorporated into the current HEOM formalism by including Gaussian fluctuations set by an infinite correlation time (i.e.,

![FIG. 1. Schematic view of the F-CT model described by the Hamiltonian in Eqs. (7)–(8) for a four-level system. Each state is coupled to its own local vibrational mode and to the local bath.](image-url)
FIG. 2. Time-evolution of the density matrix elements for the (a) 2F, (b) 4F, and (c) 2F-2CT models.

FIG. 3. Absorption spectra for the (a) 1F, 2F, 4F, and 2F-2CT models, and absorption spectra for the (b) 2F-2CT model with various values of $E_{\text{gap}}$ between the F and CT states.

$\gamma = 0$. However, for the sake of simplicity, such an analysis is not performed here.

B. Absorption spectra

Figure 3(a) depicts the absorption spectra of the 1F, 2F, 4F, and 2F-2CT models. Here, the vibronic absorption peaks are designated as $A_v$, where $v$ is an integer number. In the case of the 1F model, the peaks represent the excitations from a ground-state vibrational mode to an F-electron state vibrational mode, described by high frequency displaced harmonic oscillators. In the case of the aggregates, however, the mechanism by which the peaks arise is more complex. We observe the $A_1$ peak at around 1200 cm$^{-1}$ and the $A_2$ and $A_3$ peaks in the pure F state models. The difference in frequency between the absorption peaks of the 1F model corresponds to the value of the local mode ($\Omega_\alpha = 1400$ cm$^{-1}$) and is increased by the effect of the transfer integral in the 2F and 4F models.

As the number of sites increases, the overall spectrum is blue-shifted with a decrease in the $A_1$ peak intensity and an increase in the $A_2$ intensity. This behavior is expected for oligomers and it is attributed to the $\pi-\pi$ stacking of PBI molecules in H-aggregates. However, in the 2F-2CT system, the $A_1$ peak is observed to be red-shifted and stronger in comparison to the 2F model. The presence of a second peak near 1500 cm$^{-1}$ is also confirmed. The high-frequency peak is a consequence of the influence of the CT states. Absorption peaks of the two F states and the peak due to the CT states are overlapped. The frequency difference between the main peak and the high-frequency peak is related to the effect of the electron and hole transfer integrals, which produces the split and the overall red-shift of the two F states part of the spectrum. The detailed analysis is presented in the supplementary material (see Fig. S2). We noted the presence of similar interaction mechanisms in many push–pull chromophores and showed that the relevance of the CT state affects the position and intensity of the vibronic bands.

The effect of the bandgap was reported previously and is illustrated in Fig. 3(b). As the energy difference between the F and CT states increases, the presence of the $A_1$ peak and high-frequency peak suppress gradually. An increase in the intensity of the $A_2$ peak and an overall blue shift in the spectrum are also observed. This behavior can be easily understood by considering that by increasing $E_{\text{gap}}$, the CT states are decoupled from the F states and their effect on the absorption spectrum is reduced.

C. TGF spectra

The TGF measurement can provide detailed information on the interaction between oscillatory dynamics and delocalization in PBI aggregates. This technique describes the stimulated emission...
FIG. 4. TGF spectra ($t_G = 10$ fs) of the (a) 2F and (b) 4F models for (i)–(iii) different values of $t_{12}$, and of the (c) 2F-2CT model for (i)–(iii) different values of $E_{\text{gap}}$ between F and CT states for $t_{12} = 500$ cm$^{-1}$.

 spectrum as a function of time after excitation, allowing direct mapping of the dynamics of the excited state. The TGF spectra results for $t_G = 10$ fs are depicted in Fig. 4 for the 2F [(a-i)–(a-iii)] and 4F [(b-i)–(b-iii)] models for various values of $t_{12}$, and for the 2F-2CT [(c-i)–(c-iii)] model for various values of $E_{\text{gap}}$ between F and CT states with $t_{12} = 500$ cm$^{-1}$.

All results exhibit a sharp band in the positive frequency region at very short times (<10 fs), which rapidly decays and disappears completely at longer times. Since we assume an instantaneous (Franck–Condon type) excitation from the ground electronic state to the F states, the origin of this signal is clearly related to the emission from highly localized hot vibronic states created after the initial photoexcitation. Because of the fast relaxation (i.e., large $\gamma$), the excited wave packet relaxes to the ground state very quickly and the signal disappears. As observed in the absorption spectra, the position of the hot peak is blue-shifted with increasing $t_{12}$ and $E_{\text{gap}}$ due to the effect of molecular stacking, resulting in a split of the emission peak.

After this very short initial phase, two time-periodic peaks were observed at about −1200 and −2600 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. We notice that the energy difference between the peaks corresponds to the frequency of the local Brownian mode ($\Omega_\alpha = 1400$ cm$^{-1}$). Furthermore,
the intensities of the two peaks oscillate at a frequency of about 24 fs, which is also in agreement with the period of the Brownian mode \((1/\Omega_{\alpha})\). The oscillations span a time range of about 100 fs and slowly transform into a stationary pattern around 150 fs. The stationary signal corresponds to the steady state fluorescence spectrum of the aggregate. The calculated results obtained for the oligomers for a time-gate of \(t_G = 50\) fs are depicted in Figs. 5(a)–5(c).

In the 2F model [see Figs. 5(a-i)–5(a-ii)], we can easily assign the hot state, 0–0 and 0–1 emission peaks, although the position and the splitting of the peaks increase with the number of states and with higher values of \(t_{12}\). We observe that the initial intensity of the 0–0 emission peak becomes weaker and decays faster with increasing values of \(t_{12}\). The inclusion of CT states strongly affects the TGF spectrum. The TGF spectra of the 2F-2CT model [Figs. 5(c-i)–5(c-ii)] show that the intensity of the hot state peak is reduced and its position shifted in comparison to the 2F model having the same value of \(t_{12}\) [Fig. 5(a-ii)]. Moreover, the intensity of the 0–0 peak is increased, and the intensity of the 0–1 peak is reduced. This effect becomes more pronounced with decreasing \(E_{\text{gap}}\). Due to the selection of a large time-gate, fast wave packet motion cannot be observed and its oscillatory features are averaged out. The intensity of the emission peak at very short times is greatly reduced because
the relaxation process is comparable to the time-gate. Experimental results showing similar features have recently been reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{29} The short $t_G$ used in Fig. 4, on the other hand, allows us to clearly see how the wave packet moves from the initially excited hot state to the 0–0 emission peak and then to the 0–1 emission peak. As mentioned above, such characteristics are specified by the frequency of the local mode and are independent of other system-bath parameters. Given the complexity of these models, this is a surprisingly simple result, valid for a wide range of system parameters. However, when the exciton or F-CT coupling is very large, this simple pattern no longer holds.

It is important to mention that the TGF signal reported here shows some significant differences from the results obtained with approximate methods.\textsuperscript{30} The first is the appearance of a strong peak in a very short period of time. The second is that the intensity of the 0–1 peak at $-2600$ cm$^{-1}$ is initially zero and increases with time, whereas it was previously reported to be nearly constant. This clearly demonstrates the importance of using an adequate model with the accurate treatment of the vibrational relaxation process in the computation of nonlinear spectral signals.

### D. Coherent length

According to the modern theory of molecular aggregates, the extent of exciton delocalization in J- and H-aggregates, measured by $N_{coh}$ as defined in Eq. (19), reflects in the ratio of the intensity of the 0–0 and 0–1 peaks of the luminescence spectra.\textsuperscript{31} More specifically for H-aggregates, the larger $N_{coh}$, the higher is the intensity of the 0–1 peak with respect to the 0–0 peak. Recently, it has been shown that the approximate relation given in Eq. (21) can provide reliable information about the delocalization extent in J-aggregates, and might work for H-aggregates only on a very short time scale, while being unreliable at long times.\textsuperscript{32} On the other hand, Sung et al. have used the same relation combined with the measurement of TGF spectra to describe the dynamics of delocalization in H-aggregates of PBI units.\textsuperscript{33} Due to this controversial issue, we have calculated $N_{coh}$ defined by Eq. (19) for the 2 sites (2F and 2F-2CT models) and the 4 site (4F model) systems and compared them to the approximate value obtained from Eq. (21) using the computed TGF spectra.

Figure 6 shows the results of the time-evolution of $N_{coh}$ based on Eq. (19) (colored lines) and Eq. (21) (dotted lines) of the 2F and 4F models with different values of $t_{12}$ (a,b) and of the 2F-2CT model for different values of $E_{gap}$ for $t_{12} = 500$ cm$^{-1}$ (c).

We notice that, in all cases, the delocalized exciton created after the initial photoexcitation tends to localize at very short times due to the motion of the vibrational wavepacket. After the transient localization, $N_{coh}$ reaches a constant value. It is also evident that the limiting values increase with increasing $t_{12}$ and $E_{gap}$. The results of the 2F-2CT model clearly evidence that, for the set of parameters used, the transient exciton localization is enhanced when CT states are included. In some previous studies, CT states have been described as an intermediate state that promotes localization of the local mode and are independent of other system-bath parameters.

Equation (21) can be readily employed from the intensities of the 0–0 and 0–1 peaks of the TGF spectra. The results, obtained for a Huang–Rhys factor of $\lambda = 0.734$, are notably different in comparison to the theoretical definition of Eq. (19). More specifically, up to a few tens of femtoseconds $N_{coh}$ reproduces the theoretical value; however, it falls very rapidly to values smaller than 1 that are clearly unphysical. We notice that this estimate is obtained for a specific $t_G$. In order to observe the initial oscillatory behavior, $t_G$ must be sufficiently small. However, since the experimental lower limit of $t_G$ is about 50 fs, the actual observation of the transient behavior may be difficult (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material). Furthermore, while the limiting value of $N_{coh}$ should clearly increase with increasing $t_{12}$ (greater delocalization), the estimate obtained from the TGF spectra provides the opposite behavior.

The above analysis clearly suggest that the estimate of $N_{coh}$ provided by Eq. (21) cannot be adopted for the study of H-aggregate dynamics as it provided physically unreliable results.

### IV. CONCLUSION

The effect of mixing F-CT states in H-aggregates was investigated using the HEOM method for the Holstein polaron model.
The dynamics and spectroscopic properties of the model with the Me-PTCDI derivative as a reference were investigated. The role of the vibronic interactions and of the F–CT interaction states in the exciton delocalization process was analyzed by varying the strength of the F–CT interaction. The calculated absorption spectra were consistent with previous results. In general, compared to models that only include F states, those that include CT states caused (i) a redshift of the spectrum; (ii) an increase and decrease in the intensity of the $A_1$ and $A_2$ peaks, respectively; and (iii) the appearance of high frequency peaks as a result of splitting caused by the F–CT interaction.

Although technical limitations preclude experimental observation at this time, TGF calculations predicted the existence of ultrafast exciton dynamics that depend only on the frequency of the local bath (high frequency molecular mode). Furthermore, we observed the appearance of an excited hot state peak whose intensity is strongly correlated with the initial exciton delocalization, and that decays with a constant time that depends on the relaxation time of the system. Finally, all our calculations indicated that the F–CT interaction participates excitation localization, manifested in the decrease in intensity of the hot state and 0–0 peaks and the increase in intensity of the 0–1 peak, resulting in a decrease of $N_{coh}$.

However, when the value of $N_{coh}$ was calculated using a simplified formula based on the intensities of the peaks of the TGF spectra, the results were unphysical, and one should be cautious about using it in the case of H-aggregates.

In order to increase the size of the model used in this study, it is important to reduce the numerical cost of HEOM. Recently, efficient algorithms based on tensor-train representations of reduced density matrices have been developed and are expected to be applied to HEOM for more realistic systems, although its stability and numerical accuracy have not yet been established.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the supplementary material for the effect of $t_c$ and $t_d$ in the time-evolution of the density matrix elements and in the absorption spectra for the 2F-2CT model system. The time-evolution of $N_{coh}$ employing Eq. (21) for different values of $t_d$ is also shown for the 2F, 4F, and 2F-2CT models.
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